

Name of dataset or data source:	DFSI Media Releases
Custodian of the dataset or data source:	Department of Finance, Services and Innovation
Description:	RSS feed for Media Releases from the Department of Finance, Services and Innovation.
Data quality rating:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Institutional Environment ★ Accuracy ★ Coherence - Interpretability - Accessibility

INSTITUTIONAL ENVIRONMENT Medium

- ✓ The agency publishing this data is the recognised data custodian.
- ✓ Data is collected and managed according to a data quality framework.
- ✓ Data governance roles and responsibilities are clearly assigned for this dataset or data source.
- ✓ Custodian has no commercial interest or conflict of interest in the data.

- ✗ Data collection is not mandated or required by a law, regulation or agreement.

Find out more about the data quality framework from www.finance.nsw.gov.au/rss/media-releases

Find out more about the data governance responsibilities from <https://www.finance.nsw.gov.au/about-us/media-releases/dfsi-listing>

ACCURACY High

- ✓ This data has been subject to quality assurance processes. ie Checking for errors at each stage of data collection and processing, or verifying data entry and making corrections if necessary.
- ✓ The data collection met the objectives of the primary user. The data correctly represents what it was designed to measure, monitor or report. Refer to *Scope and Coverage* in the last section of this report for more information.
- ✓ There are no known gaps in the data. (For example: non-responses, missing records, data not

collected.)

- ✓ There have not been any adjustments, changes or other factors that could impact the validity of the data. (For example: weighting, rounding, de-identification of data; changes or flaws in data collection or verification methods.)
- ✓ Revision policy: If errors are identified, data is revised and the revision is publicised

Find out more about the quality assurance processes from the Custodian.



COHERENCE

High



- ✓ Standard definitions, common concepts, classifications and data recording practices have been used.
- ✓ Elements within the data can be meaningfully compared.
- ✓ This data is generally consistent with similar or related data sources.
- ✓ This data is part of a time series. There have not been any significant changes in the way data items are defined, classified or counted since the start of the series.
- ✓ This data is consistent with previous releases. There have been no changes in methodology or external impacts since the last release in this series.

More information about standards: RSS feed media releases.

More information about similar or related data sources: RSS feed media releases.

This dataset or data source is part of a time series.

Data was first collected or produced on 23/10/2013



INTERPRETABILITY

Low



- ✗ There is no **data dictionary** available to explain the meaning of data elements, their origin, format and relationships.
- ✗ There is no explanatory information available about the primary data sources and methods of data collection.
- ✗ There is no further information available to help users evaluate the accuracy of the data and any level of error.
- ✗ There is no further information available to explain concepts, help users correctly interpret the data and understand how it can be used.
- ✗ There is no further information available to explain ambiguous or technical terms used in the data.



ACCESSIBILITY

Medium



- ✓ This dataset or data source is available online with an **open licence** –Attribution (CC BY)
- ✓ This dataset or data source is available in a **machine-processable, structured format**.
- ✓ This dataset or data source is available in a **non-proprietary format**.

- ✗ This dataset or data source is not described using **open standards** and **persistent identifiers**.
- ✗ This dataset or data source is not **linked to other data**.

This dataset or data source is available in the following digital file types or formats: RSS

Additional information about the use of identifiers and links to other data: Media releases

Information to help users evaluate relevance

Scope & Coverage:

What the data was designed to measure, monitor, or report: Real time RSS feed for Media Releases from the Department of Finance, Services and Innovation.

Target of the data collection: Various Media Releases from the Department of Finance, Services and Innovation.

There were no individuals, groups or occurrences excluded from the data collection.

Geographic detail:

Data cover the following geographic area(s): State

At lower levels of geography, the data are represented or apportioned as follows: N/A

Outputs:

The data are available as: Published (unstructured content, assembled into a form suitable for wide dissemination)

Other cautions:

The data does not represent or cover: N/A

Other cautions or recommendations to enable effective use and interpretation of the data: N/A

Reference period:

Period for which the data were obtained: 23/10/2013 - 31/05/2016

There were no exceptions to the data collection or observation period. (eg delays in receipt of data, changes to recording processes)

Timing:

Updates and revisions: If errors are identified, data is revised and the revision is publicised

The data became available (ie released or published) on: 23/10/2013

Frequency of production:

Data is collected or expected to be produced: Daily

DATA DISCLAIMER

NSW Government is committed to producing data that is accurate, complete and useful. Notwithstanding its commitment to data quality, NSW Government gives no warranty as to the fitness of this data for a particular purpose. While every effort is made to ensure data quality, the data is provided “as is”. The burden for fitness of the data relies completely with the User. NSW Government shall not be held liable for improper or incorrect use of the data.

For more information about this dataset or data source, contact:	Media Team
Custodian email:	media@finance.nsw.gov.au
Custodian phone:	0438108797



Understanding the Data Quality Statement

The data quality statement aims to help you understand how a particular dataset could be used and whether it can be compared with other, similar datasets. It provides a description of the characteristics of the data to help you decide whether the data will be fit for your specific purpose.

About the quality rating:

The reporting questionnaire asks five questions for each of these data quality dimensions:

- Institutional Environment
- Accuracy
- Coherence
- Interpretability
- Accessibility

For each question: “yes” = 1 point; “no” = 0 points

The number of points determines the Quality Level for each dimension (high, medium, low).

Only dimensions with four or five points receive a star.

Points	Quality Level	Star / No Star
0	LOW	No Star
1	LOW	No Star
2	LOW	No Star
3	MEDIUM	No Star
4	MEDIUM	Star
5	HIGH	Star

More information?

The data quality reporting questionnaire and further explanation of the data quality dimensions is provided in the NSW Government Standard for Data Quality Reporting published at <http://finance.nsw.gov.au/ict/data-interoperability-standards>



Evaluating data quality

Quality relates to the data's "fitness for purpose". Users can make different assessments about the data quality of the same data, depending on their "purpose" or the way they plan to use the data.

The following questions may help you evaluate data quality for your requirements. This list is not exhaustive. Generate your own questions to assess data quality according to your specific needs and environment.

- What was the primary purpose or aim for collecting the data?
- How well does the coverage (and exclusions) match your needs?
- How useful are these data at small levels of geography?
- Does the population presented by the data match your needs?
- To what extent does the method of data collection seem appropriate for the information being gathered?
- Have standard classifications (eg industry or occupation classifications) been used in the collection of the data? If not, why? Does this affect the ability to compare or bring together data from different sources?
- Have rates and percentages been calculated consistently throughout the data?
- Is there a time difference between your reference period, and the reference period of the data?
- What is the gap of time between the reference period (when the data were collected) and the release date of the data?
- Will there be subsequent surveys or data collection exercises for this topic?
- Are there likely to be updates or revisions to the data after official release?